

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR M-12013 US 1491 02/26/2002 David L. Blankenbeckler 10/085,682 **EXAMINER** 32605 7590 05/06/2004 MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M 1762 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE, SUITE 226 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SAN JOSE, CA 95110 2653 DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/085,682	BLANKENBECKLEF	BLANKENBECKLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Aristotelis M Psitos	2653		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	s application. A proper reply ent which places the applica	y to a tion in	
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY (check either a) or	b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expiration ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date e later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH the date on which the petition under dof extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period office later than three months after	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Her 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the fee. The approperation of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or sir	mplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-17 and 19-23</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2653

10. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner is not persuaded by applicants' arguments. As best interpreted the whereinclause is a desired use, does not further limit the product. As such, the reasons presented in the final are maintained. Furthermore, applicants' attention is drawn to figure 7a of Aoki et al with respect to recording only in the land

Continuation of 10. Other: THe cancellation of claims 9,18,24-30 overcomes the objections thereto. The objection to claim 5 is also overcomed by applicants' arguments thereto.